

From: Matthew Clark <luis@thegrid.net>
To: K2DOM.K2PO1(NETMSGs)
Date: Tue, Apr 20, 1999 5:51 PM
Subject: Comments to Commissioner Ness

MM Dodget #99-25

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Matthew Clark (luis@thegrid.net) writes:

Thank you for taking the time to read this form. Today in the paper I read an article regarding Stephen Dunifer and his pirate radio station in Berkley California. Although it upsets me deeply that someone who is serving his community and harming no one is somehow breaking laws imposed by your commission, I understand your need to regulate the airwaves. This letter is to voice my strong enthusiasm for opening up the airwaves to small time radio stations.

There is no legitimate argument that can be raised which would deny people like Stephen (or anyone else) the right to free speech protected by the Constitution and Bill of Rights. We have the right to free speech, as well as the right to assemble and radio is where we do both in the electronic age.

I encourage you to stand up to the NAB/RAB as they attempt to protect their own interests and consolidate radio station ownership into the hands of fewer and fewer people. Micro-power radio adds to the character of the community what corporate radio can't possibly provide. We need small radio, and their right to broadcast is constitutionally guaranteed

Thak you again for your time.

Sincerely,
Matthew Clark

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 209.162.47.121
Remote IP address: 209.162.47.121

RECEIVED

MAY 10 1999

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

From: Miriana Dodson <gerda@winedeviants.com>
To: K2DOM.K2PO1(NETMSGs)
Date: Tue, Apr 20, 1999 5:21 PM
Subject: Comments to Commissioner Ness

MM Docket # 99-25

Miriana Dodson (gerda@winedeviants.com) writes:

Re: FCC proposal to issue very low-power licences.

Please do not listen to the NAB/RAB! I am very interested in allowing my community to legally have a low-power (or several) station to serve the community! Like a newspaper, but on air. With so few owners who run many different stations (deregulation), it is imperative that the people of each community have a voice of their own. Not one that is controlled by an owner who lives in another state and who programs a satellite feed into the community.

The small communities are being hardest hit because often the financial resources to own a station in their own community is not feasible! Why should I have to listen to a station with a satellite feed from Atlanta, complain to the owner in Chicago and sit back to listen because I have no other choice in music in San Luis Obispo?

Please allow for low-power licences.

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1

Remote host: 209.162.45.91

Remote IP address: 209.162.45.91

RECEIVED**MAY 10 1999****FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**